# CT Product and its Variation in Varieties of Commodities Fumigated with Methyl Bromide

Takashi MISUMI, Yukihiro Soma Hiromitsu NAITO, Ikuko MATSUOKA, Tetsuo Oogita\* and Fusao KAWAKAMI

Chemical & Physical Control Laboratory, Research Division, Yokohama Plant Protection Station 1-16-10, Shinyamashita, Naka-ku, Yokohama 231-0801, Japan

Abstract: CT products and their variations in varieties of commodities furnigated with methyl bromide (MB) were examined with a few varieties of apples, apricots, cherries, pears, plums, nectarines and walnuts including fruits stored for different periods and harvested in different years. The result showed that (1) the maximum variation of CT products between varieties was 33.0% in walnuts, 9.4% in apples, 6.6% in cherries, 6.0% in pears, 5.7% in plums, 5.2% in nectarines and 2.2% in apricots, respectively and higher variation (9.4%) among varieties of perishable commodities was observed between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apples, and that (2) variations of CT products between storage fruits and non-storage fruits were  $+0.9 \sim +4.1\%$  in plums,  $+0.1\% \sim +1.1\%$  in nectarines,  $-0.3 \sim -2.0\%$  in pears and -0.9~+1.3% in apples, respectively and higher variation (4.1%) was observed on 'Ooishi Wase' plums, and that (3) variations of CT products in fruits harvested in different years were  $-2.3 \sim +4.3\%$  in apples,  $-1.6 \sim +1.1\%$  in nectarines and higher variation (4.3%) was observed on 'Alps Otome' apples, and that (4) the maximum variation of 9.4% (between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apples) in 6 perishable commodities was larger than that 4.1% ('Ooishi Wase' plums) and of 4.3% ('Fuji' apples) from the test on influences of different storage periods and different harvest years of fruits on the CT product. The data suggested that the variation of the CT product within the variety was smaller than that between varieties of commodities. Since the variation of as much as 33.0% between walnut varieties was too much variation to achieve a quarantine security, further tests for a new fumigation schedule would be required for additional varieties.

Key words: methyl bromide, fumigation, sorption, CT product, variation, variety, apricots, apples, cherries, pears, plums, nectarines, walnuts

### Introduction

Monro (1969) and FAO (1983) described that a most important factor affecting the action of a fumigant such as methyl bromide (MB) is the phenomenon known as sorption covered three types of phenomena of absorption, chemisorption and adsorption with physical and chemical process, and that the sorptive amount of gas depends on fumigation items or commodities and the amount can be estimated and identified by calculating of the CT product which is known as an indicator of insecticidal efficacy in routine fumigation.

There are some reports on MB sorption and possible major factors which cause the different amount of gas sorption in different varieties of the same fruit or in different fruit. The LD<sub>50</sub>'s for the codling moth eggs, *Cydia pomonella* on 'Summer Grand' variety of nec-

<sup>\*</sup> Ship cargo quarantine section, Yokohama Plant Protection Station

tarines were significantly more susceptible than those on other varieties of 'May Grand', 'Firebrite', 'Red Diamond', 'Spring Red' and 'Fantasia' (Yokoyama et al., 1987). MB residues were greater in oranges and tangerines than in grapefruit at any dose tested and the fruit surface area to volume ratio was a possible major factor in the amount of MB absorbed (KING & BENSCHOTER 1991). Differences were found in sorption of MB to cherry between varieties and between seasons (MAINDONALD et al., 1992). KAWAKAMI et al. (1998) reported that the maximum difference of the CT product between varieties was 7% in nectarines ('Shuhou' and 'Fantasia') and 12% in apples ('Tsugaru' and 'Mutsu') and gas decline patterns during fumigation were also different from 3 varieties of nectarines and 13 varieties of apples, and that the difference in nectarines may be attributable to 'Shuhou's larger surface area based on rough skin and the difference in apples may take part in the action of MB/oily skin and soft pulp interaction.

These data clearly suggest that the sorptive amount of MB gas varies not only in species of fruit but also in varietal characteristics of the same fruit, and that the sorptive amount of MB may also vary according to other conditions of storage periods after harvest and harvest year of fruit.

We, therefore, conducted the test for confirmation of the variation of the CT product in 18 varieties of 7 commodities and for influences of different storage periods and different harvest years of apples, nectarines, pears and plums on the variation of the CT product.

#### Materials and Methods

#### **Test Fruits**

Test fruits were obtained from local packing houses in production areas. The following commodities and their varieties were used for the tests in 1997, 1998 and 1999. These fruits were stored at  $0^{\circ}$ C (apples),  $5^{\circ}$ C (apricots cherries, pears, plums and nectarines) and room temperature (walnuts) until fumigation and then stored at each fumigation temperature at the Research Division, Yokohama Plant Protection Station, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.

| Commodity | Variety       | Production area | Harvest time |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Apples    | Alps Otome    | Nagano          | Sept '99     |
|           | Mutsu         | Aomori          | Oct '98, '99 |
|           | Fuji          | Aomori          | Nov '98, '99 |
|           | Kinsei        | Aomori          | Nov '98, '99 |
| Apricot   | Harcot        | Nagano          | July '99     |
|           | Shinsyu Oomi  | Nagano          | July '99     |
| Cherries  | Beni Shuhou   | Yamagata        | July '99     |
|           | Napoleon      | Yamagata        | July '99     |
|           | Satou Nishiki | Yamagata        | June '99     |
| Pears     | La France     | Yamagata        | Nov '99      |
|           | Bartlett      | Nagano          | Sept '99     |
| Plums     | Sordum        | Yamagata        | July '99     |
|           | Ooishi Wase   | Yamagata        | June '99     |

| Nectarines | Fantasia   | Fukushima | Aug '97, '99       |
|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|
|            | Shuhou     | Fukushima | Sept '97, '98, '99 |
|            | Flavortop  | Nagano    | Aug '97, '99       |
| Walnuts    | Shinrei    | Nagano    | Nov '99            |
|            | Oni Gurumi | Iwate     | Oct '99            |

#### **Fumigation**

Fumigation was conducted in a 29.5 liter fiber-glass fumigation box (26.0cm  $\times$  28.0cm  $\times$  41.0cm in size) equipped with circulation fan, ventilation apparatus, ports for gas application and sampling, manometer and temperature probes. Each variety of fruit was weighed by a top-loading digital balance (LIBROR EB-3200D, Shimadzu) with special attention to be adjusted fruit loading and then these fruits were placed in the fumigation box. The following treatment schedules were applied for MB fumigation; nectarines, apricot and plums:  $48g/m^3$  for 2 hours at  $20^{\circ}$ C with 0.14kg/l loading, for Cherries:  $64g/m^3$  for 2 hours at  $10^{\circ}$ C with 0.14kg/l loading, for apples and pears:  $56g/m^3$  for 2 hours at  $10^{\circ}$ C with 0.16kg/l loading and for walnuts:  $56g/m^3$  for 4 hours at  $20^{\circ}$ C with 0.14kg/l, loading respectively.

MB was introduced with a gas-tight syringe and the gas circulation fan was kept on for the first 15 minutes and then used automatic timer (on:1 minute, off:3 minutes). Gas concentrations were measured with gas chromatograph (FID, GC-14B, Shimadzu) at time intervals of 10, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes (and 180 and 240 minutes in walnuts) after injection of MB. Air temperature in the fumigation box was also monitored with a multichannel automatic temperature recorder (Hybrids recorder AH, Chino) during fumigation. After fumigation, the gas was exhausted for 1 hour using the ventilation apparatus. Each variety was fumigated with 2 to 4 replicates.

#### Calculation of CT Product

The CT product was calculated similarly to the method described by MONRO (1969) with gas concentration records measured in 10, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes in apples, apricots, cherries, nectarines, pears, plums and walnuts, and in 180 and 240 minutes in walnuts after MB injection.

#### Measurements of Weight, Firmness, Sugar Content and Acidity of Fruit

Weight, specific gravity, firmness, sugar content and acidity of fruits were measured with 5 to 10 fruits of nectarines, apples and pears. Those fruits were measured with a top-loading digital balance (LIBROR EB-3200D, Shimadzu) for weight, with a hardness tester (KM type, Fujiwara) for firmness and with a temperature-compensated digital refractometer (DX-55, Atago) for sugar content, respectively. Specific gravity was determined by replacing water with fruit. Acidity was determined with a digital acid mater (ACILYZER model 5, Fujihira) for nectarines and with titration method as the amount of malic acid in 100 ml of the solution of apple and pears.

#### Results and Discussion

# Variations of CT products among Varieties of Commodities

Table 1 shows CT products and their variations between varieties of apples, apricots, cherries, nectarines, pears, plums and walnuts. The maximum variation of CT products between varieties was 33.0% in walnuts, 9.4% in apples, 6.6% in cherries, 6.0% in pears, 5.6% in plums, 5.2% in nectarines and 2.2% in apricots, respectively. The highest variation of 9.4% among 15 varieties in 6 perishable commodities was observed between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apples, while the variation in varieties of apricots, cherries, nectarines, pears, and plums was  $6.6 \sim 2.2\%$ .

The variation between 'Shinrei' and 'Oni Gurumi' walnuts was as much as 33.0%. Especially residual gas concentration at the end of fumigation was extremely low in 'Oni Gurumi' variety. The result supported the report (HARTSELL *et al.*, 1991) that whole walnuts of 'Hartley', 'Payne' and 'Franquette' sorbed 79.6% of the MB applied because of high MB

**Table 1.** CT products and their variation rates for varieties of apricots, apples, cherries, nectarine, pears, plums and walnuts in methyl bromide fumigation<sup>1)</sup> conducted in 1999.

| Commodity  | Variety       | CT product $(g \cdot h/m^3 \pm SD)$ | Variation2)(%) |  |
|------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Apricots   | Harcot        | $76.8 \pm 0.4$                      | -              |  |
|            | Shinsyu Oomi  | $75.1~\pm~0.5$                      | 2.2            |  |
| Plums      | Sordum        | 86.3 ± 1.0                          | -              |  |
|            | Ooishi Wase   | $81.4~\pm~0.9$                      | 5.7            |  |
| Nectarines | Fantasia      | $73.4 \pm 0.3$                      | -              |  |
|            | Shuhou        | $69.7 ~\pm~ 0.4$                    | 5.0            |  |
|            | Flavortop     | $69.6~\pm~0.5$                      | 5.2            |  |
| Cherries   | Beni Shuhou   | 111.8 ± 0.4                         | -              |  |
|            | Satou Nishiki | $104.4 ~\pm~ 1.9$                   | 6.6            |  |
|            | Napoleon      | $104.4~\pm~0.9$                     | 6.6            |  |
| Pears      | La France     | $122.6 \pm 1.0$                     | -              |  |
|            | Bartlett      | $115.3~\pm~0.4$                     | 6.0            |  |
| Apples     | Mutsu         | 121.8 ± 1.0                         | -              |  |
|            | Fuji          | $119.5~\pm~1.0$                     | 1.9            |  |
|            | Alps Otome    | $110.4~\pm~0.5$                     | 9.4            |  |
| Walnuts    | Shinrei       | 56.4 ± 0.9                          | -              |  |
|            |               | $(85.0 \pm 1.6)^{3}$                | -              |  |
|            | Oni Gurumi    | $37.8~\pm~0.6$                      | 33.0           |  |
|            |               | $(57.3 \pm 1.4)^{3}$                | (32.6)3)       |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> Fumigation schedules: apricots, plums and nectarines (48g/m³ of MB for 2 hrs at 20℃ with 0.14kg/l loading), cherries (64g/m³ of MB for 2 hrs at 10℃ with 0.14 kg/l loading), apples and pears (56g/m³ of MB for 2 hrs at 10℃ with 0.16kg/l loading) and walnuts (56g/m³ of MB for 4 hrs at 20℃ with 0.14kg/l loading).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Variation (%) = 100 [1-(minimum CT product/maximum CT product)].

<sup>3)</sup> Data from 4 hours fumigation.

sorptive properties of walnuts. If the treatment schedule was established with 'Shinrei' variety, additional tests would be required for 'Oni Gurumi' variety to confirm complete mortality of the pest.

# Influence of Storage Periods of Fruit on CT products

Table 2 shows CT products and their variations in each variety of apples, nectarines, pears and plums stored for different periods after harvest. Variations of the CT product were  $+0.9\sim+4.1\%$  in plums,  $-0.9\sim+1.3\%$  in apples,  $+0.1\%\sim+1.1\%$  in nectarines and  $-0.3\sim-2.0\%$  in pears, respectively and the higher variation of 4.1% was observed on 'Ooishi Wase' plums. Table 3 shows the data of weight, specific gravity, firmness, sugar content

**Table 2.** CT products and their variations in varieties of apples, pears, plums and nectarines stored for different periods on methyl bromide fumigation.<sup>1)</sup>

| Commodity                | Variety     | Storage<br>period<br>(day) | Fumigation temperature (°C) | CT product (g·h/m³±SD) | Variation <sup>2)</sup> (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Nectarines <sup>3)</sup> | Shuhou      | 0                          | 19.6 (19.4-19.8)            | 70.4 ± 0.3             | -                           |
|                          |             | 20                         | 18.7 (18.4-19.0)            | $70.5~\pm~0.7$         | +0.1                        |
|                          |             | 30                         | 18.5 (18.3-18.6)            | $71.2 \pm 0.4$         | +1.1                        |
| Plums4)                  | Sordum      | 0                          | 19.4 (19.0-19.7)            | $86.3 ~\pm~ 1.0$       | -                           |
|                          |             | 14                         | 18.2 (18.1-18.5)            | $87.1 ~\pm~ 0.2$       | +0.9                        |
|                          |             | 28                         | 20.2 (20.1-20.7)            | $88.2 \pm 0.5$         | +2.2                        |
|                          | Ooishi Wase | 0                          | 20.0 (19.8-20.3)            | 81.4 ± 0.9             | -                           |
|                          |             | 14                         | 19.9 (19.4-20.4)            | $84.7 ~\pm~ 0.6$       | +4.1                        |
|                          |             | 28                         | 19.7 (19.3-20.1)            | $84.5 \pm 0.4$         | +3.8                        |
| Pears4)                  | La France   | 0                          | 10.3 (10.0-10.6)            | $122.6 \pm 0.9$        | -                           |
|                          |             | 20                         | 9.7 ( 9.6- 9.9)             | $120.2~\pm~0.5$        | -2.0                        |
| *                        | Bartlett    | 0                          | 10.4 ( 9.6-11.1)            | $115.3 \pm 0.4$        | -                           |
|                          |             | 20                         | 9.9 ( 9.8-10.1)             | $114.9 \pm 1.1$        | -0.3                        |
| Apples <sup>3)</sup>     | Mutsu       | 0                          | 9.7 ( 9.4-10.0)             | $118.2 \pm 3.2$        | -                           |
|                          |             | 60                         | 9.8 ( 9.6-10.0)             | $118.3 ~\pm~ 0.5$      | +0.1                        |
|                          |             | 120                        | 9.9 ( 9.7-10.1)             | $119.7 ~\pm~ 0.4$      | +1.3                        |
|                          | Fuji        | 0                          | 10.5 (10.5-10.6)            | $112.0 \pm 0.3$        | -                           |
|                          |             | 60                         | 9.9 ( 9.7-10.0)             | $111.0 \pm 0.2$        | -0.9                        |
|                          |             | 120                        | 9.9 ( 9.6-10.2)             | $112.2 \pm 0.5$        | +0.2                        |
|                          | Kinsei      | 0                          | 9.4 ( 9.2- 9.8)             | $103.2 \pm 1.2$        | -                           |
|                          |             | 60                         | 9.8 ( 9.6- 9.9)             | $104.1 ~\pm~ 0.5$      | +0.9                        |
|                          |             | 120                        | 9.9 ( 9.6-10.2)             | $103.9 ~\pm~ 0.5$      | +0.7                        |
| Apples <sup>4)</sup>     | Mutsu       | 0                          | 9.7 ( 9.4-10.0)             | 121.8 ± 1.0            | -                           |
|                          |             | 20                         | 10.2 (10.1-10.3)            | $122.5 \pm 1.5$        | +0.6                        |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> Fumigation schedules: plums and nectarines (48g/m³ of MB for 2 hrs at 20°C with 0.14kg/l loading), apples and pears (56g/m³ of MB for 2 hrs at 10°C with 0.16kg/l loading)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Variation (%) = 100 [1-(CT product from storage fruit/CT product from non-storage fruit)].

<sup>3)</sup> The test was conducted in 1998.

<sup>4)</sup> The test was conducted in 1999.

| Table 3. | Weight, specific gravity, firmness, sugar conte | ent and acidity of apples, pears and nectar- |
|----------|---|--|
|          | ines stored for different period.               |  |

| Commodity                | Variety   | Storage<br>period<br>(day) | Weight (g/fruit) | Specific gravity (kg/l) | Firmness<br>(kg) | Sugar<br>content<br>(Brix%) | Acidity (g/100ml) |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Nectarines <sup>2)</sup> | Shuhou    | 0                          | 283              | 0.96                    | 1.59             | 9.5                         | 0.91)             |
|                          |           | 20                         | 276              | 0.96                    | 0.99             | 10.0                        | 0.81)             |
|                          |           | 30                         | 261              | 0.92                    | 1.11             | 9.2                         | 0.81)             |
| Pears <sup>3)</sup>      | La France | 0                          | 285              | 0.99                    | 1.44             | 13.9                        | 0.3               |
|                          |           | 20                         | 280              | 1.02                    | 1.35             | 14.4                        | 0.2               |
|                          | Bartlett  | 0                          | 252              | 0.97                    | 2.88             | 10.3                        | 0.3               |
|                          |           | 20                         | 330              | 0.98                    | 2.86             | 11.5                        | 0.3               |
| Apples <sup>2)</sup>     | Mutsu     | 0                          | 338              | 0.84                    | 2.61             | 12.0                        | 0.4               |
|                          |           | 60                         | 339              | 0.85                    | 2.48             | 11.6                        | 0.3               |
|                          |           | 120                        | 339              | 0.84                    | 2.50             | 11.8                        | 0.4               |
|                          | Fuji      | 0                          | 296              | 0.84                    | 2.56             | 14.1                        | 0.3               |
|                          |           | 60                         | 297              | 0.84                    | 2.37             | 14.6                        | 0.2               |
|                          |           | 120                        | 296              | 0.83                    | 2.42             | 13.5                        | 0.2               |
|                          | Kinsei    | 0                          | 337              | 0.82                    | 2.43             | 15.5                        | 0.3               |
|                          |           | 60                         | 339              | 0.85                    | 2.28             | 15.2                        | 0.1               |
|                          |           | 120                        | 338              | 0.84                    | 2.27             | 14.3                        | 0.1               |
| Apples <sup>3)</sup>     | Mutsu     | 0                          | 416              | 0.83                    | 2.64             | 11.6                        | 0.5               |
|                          |           | 20                         | 418              | 0.84                    | 2.69             | 12.0                        | 0.5               |

<sup>1)</sup> Unit: %

and acidity of apples, nectarines and pears. A slight reduction of firmness in all commodities and of weight in nectarines, and higher sugar content and lower acidity in 'La France' pears were confirmed on fruits during storage, respectively. However, it was not clear on influence of these factors on the variaiton of the CT product.

#### Influence of Harvest Year of Fruit on CT products

Table 4 shows CT products and their variations in varieties of nectarines and apples harvested in different year of 1997, 1998 and 1999. Variations of the CT product between in 1997 and 1998 or 1999 were -2.3~+4.3% in apples and -1.6~+1.1% in nectarines, respectively and higher variation of 4.3% was observed on 'Fuji' apples.

The result of the test showed that the maximum variation of 9.4% (between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apples) among 15 varieties in 6 perishable commodities was larger than that of 4.1% ('Ooishi Wase' plums) and of 4.3% ('Fuji' apples) from the test on influences of different storage periods and different harvest years of fruits on the CT product. The data suggested that the variation of the CT product within the variety was smaller than that between varieties of commodities, and that since the variation of as much as

<sup>2)</sup> The test was conducted in 1998.

<sup>3)</sup> The test was conducted in 1999.

| Commodity  | Variety    | Year<br>tested | CT product (g·h/m³±SD) | Variation <sup>2)</sup> (%) |
|------------|------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Nectarines | Fantasia   | 1997           | $72.6 \pm 1.8$         | -                           |
|            |            | 1999           | $73.4 ~\pm~ 0.3$       | +1.1                        |
|            | Shuhou     | 1997           | $69.7 \pm 0.5$         | -                           |
|            |            | 1998           | $70.4 ~\pm~ 0.3$       | +1.0                        |
|            |            | 1999           | $69.7 ~\pm~ 0.4$       | 0.0                         |
|            | Flavortop  | 1997           | $70.7 \pm 1.2$         | -                           |
|            |            | 1999           | $69.6~\pm~0.5$         | -1.6                        |
| Apples     | Mutsu      | 19973)         | $118.1 \pm 0.3$        | -                           |
|            |            | 1998           | $118.2 ~\pm~ 3.2$      | +0.1                        |
| -          |            | 1999           | $121.8 \pm 1.0$        | +3.1                        |
|            | Fuji       | 19973)         | $114.6 \pm 0.5$        | -                           |
|            |            | 1998           | $112.0 ~\pm~ 0.3$      | -2.3                        |
|            |            | 1999           | $119.5~\pm~1.0$        | +4.3                        |
|            | Alps Otome | 1997³)         | 106.1 ± 1.5            | -                           |
|            |            | 1999           | $110.4~\pm~0.5$        | +4.1                        |

**Table 4.** CT products and their variations in varieties of apples and nectarines harvested and fumigated with methyl bromide<sup>1)</sup> in 1997, 1998 and 1999.

33.0% of the CT product between varieties in walnuts was too much variation to achieve a quarantine security, further tests for a new fumigation schedule would be required for additional varieties.

On the other hand, MIZOBUCHI *et al*. (2001) reported that no significant difference was observed in the susceptibility of the peach fruit moth eggs to MB fumigation between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apple in both the LC<sub>50</sub>'s and the LD<sub>50</sub>'s from the dose-response test (6 doses of MB for 2 hours at 15°C with 0.16kg/l loading). However, 95% confidential limits between apple varieties were slightly overlapped with the difference of a dose of 0.1mg/l each other in the LD<sub>50</sub>'s. The variation of 9.4% of the CT product between 'Alps Otome' and 'Mutsu' apples was observed on both apple varieties fumigated at 56g/m³ for 2 hours at 10°C with 0.16kg/l loading, which was almost the same schedule for the dose-response test conducted by MIZOBUCHI *et al.* (2001) except for applied dose.

Taking account of the relationship between the susceptibility of the pest and the maximum variation of 9.4% of the CT product among 15 varieties in 6 perishable commodities, there would be a possibility of difference in efficacy if the variation of CT product is higher than 9.4%, while the variation would not affect on the efficacy if it is less than

Fumigation schedules: nectarines  $(48g/m^3 \text{ of MB for } 2 \text{ hrs at } 20^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ with } 0.14\text{kg/}l \text{ loading})$  and apples  $(56g/m^3 \text{ of MB for } 2 \text{ hrs at } 10^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ with } 0.16 \text{ kg/}l \text{ loading})$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Variation (%) = 100[1-(CT product from fruit in 1998 or 1999/CT product from fruit in 1997)].

<sup>3)</sup> Data from KAWAKAMI et al. (1998).

9.4%. Therefore, the variation of 9.4% of the CT product between varieties of commodities would be considered as an indicator of fumigation efficacy in the CT product comparison test with different varieties of commodities in MB fumigation.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mr. Mitsusada Mizobuchi and Mr. Yoshio Tsuchiya (Research division, Yokohama Plant Protection Station) for assistance of fumigation.

#### References

- FAO (1983) International plant quarantine treatment manual. FAO Plant Prod. Prot. Paper 50: 10-11. HARTSELL, P.L., P.V. Vail, J.S. TEBBETS, and H.D. NELSON. (1991) Methyl bromide quarantine treatment for codling moth (Lepodoptera: Tortricidae) in unshelled walnuts. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 84: 1289-1293.
- KAWAKAMI, F., T. MISUMI, T. OOGITA, M. TANNO and Y. SOMA (1998) Methyl Bromide Sorption in Fruit Varieties. Res. Bull. Pl. Prot. Japan 34:1-10.
- KING, J.R. and C.A. BENSCHOTER (1991) Compative Methyl Bromide Residues in Florida Citrus A Baisis for Proposing Quarantine Treatments against the Caribbean Fruit Fly. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 39: 1307-1309.
- MAINDONALD, J.H., B.C. WADDELL and D.B. BIRTLES (1992) Response to Methyl Bromide Fumigation of Codling Moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) Eggs on Cherries. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 85: 1222-1230.
- MIZOBUCHI, M., Y. TSUCHIYA, T. DOHINO, T. MISUMI, H. NAITO, T. TAKANO, M. TANNO, Y. SOMA, N. MATSUMOTO, M. SAITOH, M. HISATAKA, T. IMAMURA and F. KAWAKAMI (2001) Reliability of CT Products in Varieties of Perishable Commodities Fumigated with Methyl Bromide. Res. Bull. Pl. Prot. Japan 37:1-7.
- Monro, H. A. U. (1969) Manual of fumigation for insect control. FAO Agri. Stud. 14-18, 25-30.
- YOKOYAMA, V.Y., G.T. MILLER and P.L. HARTSELL (1987) Methyl Bromide Fumigation for Quarantine Control of Codling Moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) on Nectarines. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 80:840-842.

# 和 文 摘 要臭化メチルくん蒸における果実品種間の CT 値及びその変動

三 角 隆·相 馬 幸 博·内 藤 浩 光 松 岡 郁 子·扇 田 哲 男\*·川 上 房 男

横浜植物防疫所調查研究部消毒技術開発担当

アンズ, リンゴ, サクランボ, 洋梨, スモモ, ネクタリン及びクルミ核子を臭化メチル検疫処理 基準でくん蒸し、各品種の CT 値及び品種間にお ける CT の変動並びに収穫後の低温保管期間や異 なる年に収穫された果実が CT 値に及ぼす影響に ついて調査した。その結果、(1)各品種における CT 値の最大差はクルミ核子で33.0%, リンゴで 9.4%, サクランボで6.6%, 洋梨で6.0%, スモ モで5.6%, ネクタリンで5.2%, アンズで2.2% であった。果実類ではリンゴの"アルプス乙女" と"陸奥"の間で最大9.4%の差が認められた。(2) 異なる期間低温に保管した果実と保管しない果実 間の CT 値の変動幅はネクタリンで+0.1~+1.1, スモモで+0.9~+4.1%, 洋梨で-0.3~-2.0% 及びリンゴで-0.9~+1.3で、変動差はスモモの 大石早生が4.1%で最も大きかった。(3)異なる年 に収穫されたリンゴ及びネクタリン果実間の CT

値の変動幅差はリンゴでー2.3~+4.3%,ネクタリンでー1.6~+1.1%で,変動差はリンゴの"フジ"の4.3%が最も大きかった。(4)6果実,15品種間における最大の変動差の9.4%(リンゴの"アルプス乙女"と"陸奥"の間)は,異なる期間低温に保管した品種でみられた最大の変動差の4.1%(スモモの"大石早生")及び異なる年に収穫された品種でみられた最大の変動差の4.3%(リンゴの"フジ")よりも大きかった。このことは,CT値の変動は個々の品種内の変動よりも品種間の変動の方が大きいことを示している。クルミ核子の品種間における33.0%の変動差は十分な殺虫効果が得られない可能性があるので,品種を追加する場合は新たな試験が必要であろう。

\* 横浜植物防疫所業務部本船貨物担当